

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/727,042	12/04/2003	Paul V. Kelley	29953-192730	4857	
26694	7590 10/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	, BAETJER, HOWAF	WEAVER, SUE A			
P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3727		

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 -		A	Analisanda				
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\sim			
Office Action Summary		10/727,042	KELLEY ET AL.	V			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sue A. Weaver	3727				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	í 			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the cory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, by statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a)□		☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers	·.					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	<u>003</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b)[on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action from	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have bee I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	e			
Attachmen	t(s)		,				
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/5/04</u> .	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plastic material of the container claimed in claims 1-18 must be shown by proper cross hatching of the sectional views or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered

Furthermore the ribs below the vacuum panel must be shown, as claimed in claims 5, 13 and 17.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because of descriptive matter in the drawing figures. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Application/Control Number: 10/727,042 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement figures which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments, or remarks, section of the amendment. Any replacement drawing sheet must be identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet" (37 CFR 1.121(d)) and include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheets must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Marked-up Drawings" and accompany the replacement sheets.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicants are advised that some of the dimensions recited in the specification do not correspond with those in the drawings. Careful review and revision is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,042

Art Unit: 3727

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 4-6, 12, 14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants are advised that there aren't any vacuum panels set forth in the adjacent sidewall, as claimed in claims 2, 4, 6, 12, 14,16 and 18. This makes it unclear whether it is merely the adjacent sidewall, which is symmetrical with respect to ribs, or applicants intend to claim both the sidewall with the vacuum panel and the adjacent sidewall as being symmetrical...

Applicants are advised that the "or" expressions in claim 5 are considered to render the clam vague and alternative.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnakumar et al in view of Ewing, Jr.

Krishnakumar et al teach a hot bill plastic container with a pair of label panels having ribs and a pair of generally rectangular vacuum panels with grips in the label

Art Unit: 3727

area. Note that Krishnakumar et al also teach the label panel area which is between upper and lower bumpers as claimed. Although applicants may not consider the sidewalls defining the label panel area to be rectangular, Ewing, Jr. teaches a plastic container in which the label panels and grips are located in rectangular sidewalls, as claimed. To have formed the container of Krishnakumar et al with the rectangular shaped sidewalls in the manner taught by Ewing, Jr would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. Note that Krishnakumar et al also teach ribs above and below the vacuum gripping panel. at 16 and 23.

5. Claims 1, 2, 5-10 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota et al '615 in view of Ewing, Jr.

Ota et al '615. teach a PET container with vacuum panels located in rectangular sides between upper and lower bumpers. Ota et al also provide ribs or reinforcing panels above and below the vacuum panels at 7 and 16, as claimed. To have merely used the front and rear ribbed panels as label panels to receive labels in the manner taught by Ewing, Jr. would have been obvious.

6. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of St. Amand.

To have optionally formed the recessed vacuum grip panel on the shorter of the walls would have been obvious in view of St. Amand at 2.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Scheffer et al show a container with vacuum panels and a plurality of ribs above and below the panels. Ota et al '557Vaillinecourt et al, Ota et al

Application/Control Number: 10/727,042 Page 7

Art Unit: 3727

'290, Ota, Hayashi and Hayashi et al show other containers with rectangular walls having recessed panels. Lane et al and Mooney show other containers with alternating vacuum panels and label panels.

8. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

Art Unit: 3727

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (703) 308-1186. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday._

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sue A. Weaver Primary Examiner

SW